

# Announcements

- 1) Error on take-home midterm! Need a vector space of **odd** dimension!

Recall! orthogonal  
projection

$V$  an inner product space,

$W \subseteq V$ ,  $W$  is spanned

by either a finite or

countable set of vectors

$\{x_i\}$ . Gram-Schmidt

gives us an orthogonal

set  $\{z_i\}$  with

$$\text{Span}(\{z_i\}) = W$$

$$z_i = w_i - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{\langle w_i, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

If  $x \in V$ , we

defined the orthogonal  
projection  $P_x$  to be

( $W$  finite-dimensional,

$$\dim(W) = n)$$

$$P_x = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle x, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

Observe that if  $x, y \in V$ ,  
 $P(x+y)$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle x+y, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle x, z_k \rangle + \langle y, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

by inner-product properties

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle x, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle y, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

$$= P_x + P_y$$

Also, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$x \in V$ ,

$$P(\alpha x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle \alpha x, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha \frac{\langle x, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

by properties of the  
inner product

$$= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle x, z_k \rangle}{\langle z_k, z_k \rangle} z_k$$

$$= \alpha P x$$

We see that

$$P(x+ty) = P_x + tP_y$$

$$P(\alpha x) = \alpha P_x$$

$\forall x, y \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}.$

We now look at  
general maps between  
vector spaces with  
this property!

# Linear Transformations

(Chapter 2)

$\mathbb{R}^2$  vs  $\mathbb{C}$

As a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$\mathbb{C}$  has dimension 2.

As a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$\mathbb{R}^2$  has dimension 2.

These appear to  
be no different  
as vector spaces  
over  $\mathbb{R}$ . How  
do we make this  
precise?



## Definition: (linear transformation)

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

A function  $T: V \rightarrow W$

is called a linear transformation

if  $\forall x, y \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$

$$1) T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$$

$$2) T(\alpha x) = \alpha T(x)$$

We've already seen  
that the orthogonal  
projection  $x \mapsto Px$   
gives a linear transformation  
of an inner product space  
 $V$  onto a finite-dimensional  
subspace  $W$ .

Example 1: ( $\mathbb{F}$ )

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Define,

for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$T_\alpha: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

$$T_\alpha(\beta) = \alpha \cdot \beta.$$

I claim that  $T_\alpha$  is

linear  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

1) Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ .

$$T_\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha \cdot (\beta + \gamma)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot \beta + \alpha \cdot \gamma$$

(field distributivity)

$$= T_\alpha(\beta) + T_\alpha(\gamma)$$

2) Let  $\gamma, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ .

$$T_\alpha(\gamma\beta) = \alpha(\gamma\beta)$$

$$= (\alpha \cdot \gamma)\beta$$

(associativity)

$$= (\gamma \cdot \alpha)\beta$$

(commutativity)

$$= \gamma \cdot (\alpha\beta)$$

(associativity)

$$= \gamma \cdot T_\alpha(\beta)$$

This shows  $T_\alpha$  is  
linear  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

In particular, if

$\alpha = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$ , we get the

identity map  $\beta \mapsto \beta$

on  $\mathbb{F}$ , and if  $\alpha = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ ,

we get the zero map

$\beta \mapsto 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ .

Claim: If  $T: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$   
is linear, then  $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$T = T_\alpha.$$

proof: Let  $\alpha = T(1_{\mathbb{F}})$ .

Then  $\forall \beta \in F$ ,

$$T_\alpha(\beta) = \alpha\beta$$

$$= \beta\alpha$$

(commutativity)

$$T(\beta) = T(\beta \cdot 1_F)$$

$$= \beta T(1_F)$$

(by linearity of  $T$ )

equal

$$= \beta\alpha$$



So  $T_\alpha = T$  for  
all  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , and  
are therefore the  
same function!

This says every linear  
transformation from a  
field to itself is  
scalar multiplication.

Example 2:  $M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F})$ .

Given a matrix

$$A = (\alpha_{i,j})_{i=1, j=1}^{n, m}$$

A defines a map from

$\mathbb{F}^m$  to  $\mathbb{F}^n$  by

if  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1,j} x_j = y_1 \in \mathbb{F} \\ \sum_{j=1}^m a_{2,j} x_j = y_2 \in \mathbb{F} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} x_j = y_n \in \mathbb{F} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's check this is linear.

$$1) \text{ Let } x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}, z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_m \end{bmatrix}$$

be elements of  $\mathbb{F}^m$ .

Then

$$A(x+z) = A \begin{pmatrix} x_1+z_1 \\ x_2+z_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m+z_m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1,j} (x_j+z_j) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{n,j} (x_j+z_j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1,j} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1,j} z_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{n,j} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{n,j} z_j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= Ax + Az$$

b) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^m.$$

$$\text{Then } A(\alpha x) = A \left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1 \\ \alpha x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha x_n \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} \alpha x_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n,j} \alpha x_j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} x_j \\ \vdots \\ \alpha \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n,j} x_j \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Commutativity}$$

$$= \alpha \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} x_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n,j} x_j \end{bmatrix} = \alpha A(x)$$

So  $A$  is linear,

$$A: \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n.$$